CF0000057309

(2) Autopsy 413/90(D)

XII-(85) (Jail)
C. J. P. R.-2010 6-88

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 1554 Name रामू

Father's name जितू उरांव ग्राम - पक्कलो थाना - अम्बिकापुर

Residence जिला - सरगुजा (म०प्र०) Age 24 वर्ष पुरुष

Sentenced आजन्म कारावास on 6. 4. 1990

Under section 302/भा० द० वि० by व्याया सत्र प्रथम अति० सत्र - न्यायाधीश अम्बिकापुर (म०प्र०)

S.T. NO 17/88

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 6. 4. 1990

2 Date of which copy received 11. 4. 1990

3 Date on which Appeal sent 24 4. 1990

4 whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not – Yes / No.

No. 1554 Name रामू उर्फ जितू उरांव

Continued in केन्द्रीय जेल Jail रायपुर (म०प्र०)

No. 476/Cr.Appeal Dated 24. 4. 90 198

Forward to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ माननीय अति० जिला सत्र न्यायालय उच्च न्यायालय म.प्र. जबलपुर (म०प्र०)

to gather which a copy of order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appelliate Court.

Superintendent Jail

केन्द्रीय जेल, रायपुर (म०प्र०)

Date of receipt in C. J.M.'s office

Date of receipt record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate court

No Dated 198

Forwarded to the

C. J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appeliate Court

High Court of Madhya Pradesh
Recd on 10 MAY
Registration No. 1000
Asstt. Registrar
Jabalpur.

(P. T. O.)

XI-HC-22

(44)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक CG-A- 413/90 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : **Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin, J &** **Hon'ble Shri Dilip Deshmukh, J** **28-06-2005** None for the appellants. Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Shri Rajput, learned counsel for the State informs that appellants **Basantlal, Bhundal, Sainath & Ramu** have been released on different dates from the Central Jail, Raipur. He further informs that appellants **Basantlal & Sainath** have been released from Jail on 18-12-2002 on the eve of Guru Ghasidas Jayanti upon special remission being granted and appellants **Bhundal & Ramu** have been released from Jail on 15-2-2002 as per the directions of the Department of Jail, Government of Chhattisgarh on probation. When the case is called neither the appellants nor their counsel is present. It is indicative of the fact that the appellants are not interested in pursuing their appeal any more. In the above circumstances and in the light of decision of the Apex Court rendered in the case of ***Ram Naresh Yadav and Others vs. State of Bihar*** reported in ***AIR 1987 SC 1500***, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge	
Gowri		

(पीछे देखिये)